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Chemists have long been interested in nonplanar hydrocarbons such as strained cycloalkenes, ${ }^{1-3}$ in which the inside and outside surfaces have different chemical and physical properties. Fullerenes are an extreme example, forming very strong endohedral complexes ${ }^{4-15}$ such as $\mathrm{La} @ \mathrm{C}_{82}{ }^{8,9,16}$ but weak exohedral metal complexes such as $\left[\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. ${ }^{17-19}$ The weakness of exohedral binding has been attributed to the splayed-out orientation of the lobes of the external, radial p-orbitals, which do not overlap effectively in a polyhapto fashion with the d-orbitals of a metal. ${ }^{20} \pi$-Orbital axis vector (POAV1) analysis gives a simple description of the curvature in $\pi$-conjugated systems. ${ }^{21-23}$ The local curvature of a carbon atom is described by the pyramidalization angle $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}$, defined as $\theta_{\sigma \pi}-90^{\circ}$ where $\theta_{\sigma \pi}$ is the angle made by the three $\sigma$-bonds of a tricoordinate carbon atom to a common vector that originates at the carbon atom. Carbon atoms with maximum curvature are generally the most reactive. Further, Klärner et al. recently suggested, on the basis of semiempirical MO calculations, that the concave (endo) surface of a curved, nonconjugated aromatic hydrocarbon will display a much more negative electrostatic potential than the convex (exo) surface. ${ }^{24}$
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## Scheme 1



We are investigating endo- and exo-complexes of curved hydrocarbons that model some features of metal-fullerene complexes. Labile $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-corannulene $\left.)\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)\right][\mathrm{OTf}]\left(\mathrm{Cp} *=\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Me}_{5}, \mathrm{OTf}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ ) has a rapidly inverting corannulene ligand. ${ }^{25}$ One of the five-membered ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds along the rim of the "buckybowl" compound $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ oxidatively adds to Pt to form a novel $\eta^{2}-\sigma$-bonded complex rather than a $\pi$-complex. ${ }^{26}$
$\mathrm{C}_{28}(\mathbf{1})$ is the smallest even-numbered cluster that forms with special abundance in condensing carbon vapors. ${ }^{27} T_{d}-\mathrm{C}_{28}$ is constructed of 12 pentagons and 4 hexagons, with a quintet $\left({ }^{5} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ ground state. $\mathrm{C}_{28}$ can be stabilized in the gas phase as $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{X}_{4}$ by addition of a monovalent atom such as H to each vertex, or by encapsulation of a tetravalent atom to give, e.g., $\mathrm{U} @ \mathrm{C}_{28}{ }^{7}{ }^{7,27-30}$
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The unknown hydrocarbon $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{2})^{31}$ would be a good model for one corner of $\mathrm{C}_{28}$. Opening one arene-arene bond leads to fluoradene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12}, \mathbf{3}\right),{ }^{32}$ with distinct endo and exo faces. Its coordination chemistry is nearly unexplored. Cr coordinates to a non-central ring in $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-fluoradene $\left.)\right]$, but endo- vs exostereochemistry was not determined. ${ }^{33}$ Crystallographically characterized $\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\eta^{1}\right.\right.$-fluoradenyl) $)$ has a $\sigma$-bond to the central, aliphatic carbon atom; $\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\eta^{5}\right.\right.$-fluoradenyl) $]$ was spectroscopically characterized. ${ }^{34}$

The reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{3}(\mathrm{Cp} *)\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$ with fluoradene (3) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gives exo- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-fluoradene $\left.)\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$ (4) in $79 \%$ yield (Scheme 1). ${ }^{35}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis shows traces of other
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Figure 1. Perspective drawings of the cations of 4 and 6. Ellipsoids correspond to $50 \%$ probability contours of atomic displacement.
isomers. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ does not exchange with other arene ligands or acetonitrile in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature, nor with 7b-Dfluoradene or 7b-isopropylfluoradene in refluxing THF. Deprotonation of $\mathbf{4}$ with 1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (Proton Sponge), K, or $\mathrm{KOCMe}_{3}$ in THF gives very reactive $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)\right.$ ( $\eta^{5}$-fluoradenyl)] (5). ${ }^{36}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicates two isomers with C ** resonances at $\delta 0.82 \mathbf{( 5 a )}$ and $0.84(\mathbf{5 b})$, the ratio depending upon the base and reaction conditions. One equivalent of Proton Sponge in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gives a $\mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{5} \mathbf{b}$ ratio of $20: 1$, whereas excess $\mathrm{KOCMe}_{3}$ in THF gives a 1.7:1 ratio. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 a}$ indicates a plane of symmetry, which $\mathbf{5 b}$ lacks. Presumably ruthenium is $\eta^{5}$-coordinated to a planar fluoradenyl ligand in 5.

Protonation of $\mathbf{5}$ with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gives endo- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-fluoradene $\left.)\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right](6) .{ }^{37}$ The conversion of $\mathbf{4}$ to $\mathbf{6}$ can also be quantitatively effected by catalytic 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Monitoring by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows exclusive formation of $\mathbf{6}$ in 6 h at room temperature.

X-ray crystallography confirms that the $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)\right]^{+}$center is $\eta^{6}$-coordinated to the exo face of the central arene ring of fluoradene in 4 and the endo face in $6{ }^{38}$ Bonding parameters are similar to several other $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right)\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)\right]^{+}$complexes. ${ }^{39}$ The distance between the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ centroid and the $\eta^{6}$-arene centroid is

[^2]3.54(1) $\AA$ in both 4 and 6, but the Ru atom is 0.038 (8) $\AA$ closer to arene and $0.031(8) \AA$ further from $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ in $\mathbf{6}$ compared to 4 . Apparently the interligand distance is limited by steric constraints, but Ru interacts more strongly with the tipped-in endo p-orbitals in 6 than the splayed-out exo p-orbitals in 4 . The stronger areneRu bonding makes 6 the thermodynamically favored product. The pyramidalization angles from POAV1 analysis of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ reveal that the most pyramidalized carbon atom of the coordinated arene ring of $\mathbf{4}$ has $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}=4.6^{\circ}$, smaller than free fluoradene's value of $7.9^{\circ}$. The fluoradene ligand is slightly flattened in $\mathbf{6}$, with the coordinated arene ring having a maximum $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}$ of $6.1^{\circ}$.
The reaction sequence $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{6}$ results in a stereochemical inversion of fluoradene. Complex $\mathbf{4}$ is kinetically favored by the greater accessibility of the exo face of fluoradene to the ruthenium center. The fluoradenyl ligand of $\mathbf{5}$ is presumably planar, as reported for the sandwich structure of $\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\eta^{6}: \eta^{6}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{40}$ Protonation of $\mathbf{5}$ to give $\mathbf{6}$ is favored, with the acid approaching the fluoradenyl ligand from the less crowded exo-face.
We are seeking to further characterize intermediate 5, and to determine whether bulky substituents on the aliphatic carbon of fluoradene can be used to force initial endo-coordination of ruthenium.
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    (38) Single crystals from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4, Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $2 \theta$ range $4-50^{\circ}$, empirical absorption correction, calculated H atoms. 4: $C c, Z=4,5100$ data, 2221 unique with $I>2 \sigma(I), 343$ variables, $R(2 \sigma)$ $=2.8 \%, w R($ all data $)=8.3 \%$. 6: Pna2 $2_{1,}, Z=4,2385$ data, 1928 unique with $I>2 \sigma(I), 343$ variables, $R(2 \sigma)=3.2 \%, w R($ all data $)=8.6 \%$.

